

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

PAMELA JOYCE MERSHON,

Plaintiff,

No. C 15-01840 JSW

v.

CAROLYN W. COLVIN, Commissioner of  
Social Security,

Defendant.

**ORDER DIRECTING PLAINTIFF  
TO INFORM COURT  
REGARDING CONSENT TO  
MAGISTRATE JUDGE FOR ALL  
PURPOSES**

In cases initially assigned to a district judge, the parties may consent at any time to reassignment of the case to a magistrate judge for all purposes, including entry of final judgment. *See* Civil L.R. 73-1(b). The Court has received notice of such consent from Defendant. Accordingly, Plaintiff is hereby DIRECTED to advise the Court, no later than June 15, 2015, as to whether she consents to have a magistrate judge conduct all further proceedings in the instant action. For the parties' convenience, consent forms are available at

///

///

///

///

///

///

///

1 <http://www.cand.uscourts.gov>, in the “Forms” section.<sup>1</sup> The parties are further advised that they  
2 may jointly request assignment to a specific magistrate judge.

3 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

4  
5 Dated: June 1, 2015

  
JEFFREY S. WHITE  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

United States District Court  
For the Northern District of California

6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27 <sup>1</sup> Normally, the Court would direct the parties to so inform the Court in their joint  
28 case management statement filed in connection with a case management conference.  
Because the instant action involves a review of an administrative record, however, a case  
management conference has not been scheduled.